

Berry's phase in Cavity QED: proposal for observing an effect of field quantization

A. Carollo^{◊‡}, M. França Santos[◊], and V. Vedral[◊]

[◊]*Optics Section, The Blackett Laboratory, Imperial College, London SW7 2BZ, United Kingdom*

[‡]*INFN, unità di ricerca di Palermo, via Archirafi 36, 90123 Italy*

Geometric phases are well known in classical electromagnetism and quantum mechanics since the early works of Pancharatnam [1] and Berry [2]. Their origin relies on the geometric nature of state spaces and has been studied in many different systems such as spins, polarized light and atomic physics [3]. Recent works have explored their application in interferometry and quantum computation [4, 5]. Earlier works suggest how to observe these phases in single quantum systems adiabatically driven by external classical devices or sources, where, by classical, we mean any system whose state does not change considerably during the interaction time: an intense magnetic field interacting with a spin 1/2, or a birefringent medium interacting with polarized light [6]-[11]. Here we propose a feasible experiment to investigate quantum effects in these phases, arising when this classical source drives not a single quantum system, but two interacting ones. In particular, we show how to observe a signature of field quantization through a vacuum effect in Berry's phase. To do so, we describe the interaction of an atom and a quantized cavity mode altogether driven by an external quasi-classical field.

The matter-light interaction as well as the quantum nature of light itself have been subjects of many experimental investigations in the last two decades, specially in the Cavity QED domain [12, 13, 14, 15]. Furthermore, it has recently been shown that the quantization of the electromagnetic field can affect the geometric phase acquired by an evolving two-level system, if this system is made to interact with the quantized field [16]. In this letter, we propose an experiment that allows one to observe such an effect in Cavity QED.

Our system uses two dispersive Jaynes-Cummings interactions [17], in the Λ Raman configuration, between the atomic levels, two orthogonally polarized cavity modes (+ for the right and - for the left polarization) and an auxiliary external field $\vec{E}(t) = \varepsilon_0[(\cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i(\frac{\phi}{2})} \hat{e}_+ + \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i(\frac{\phi}{2})} \hat{e}_-) e^{i\omega t} + c.c.]$ inside a microwave cavity (see fig1). The quantum system to be observed consists of the electronic levels of the atom dressed by the cavity modes. The external field, injected transversely in the cavity, works as our external driving source.

Due to the dispersive one-photon interaction, the two upper electronic levels of the atom act only as virtual states allowing for the two-photon coupling between levels $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$. The polarization of the auxiliary field determines how each cavity mode couples to the effective transition $|1\rangle \rightarrow |2\rangle$. For large detuning, i.e. $\delta \gg g, \Omega$, the whole system evolves according to the effective Hamiltonian [18]

$$H_{eff} = \hbar \frac{\Omega^2}{\delta} \hat{\sigma}_{22} + \hbar \frac{g^2}{\delta} (\hat{a}_+^\dagger \hat{a}_+ + \hat{a}_-^\dagger \hat{a}_-) \hat{\sigma}_{11} + \lambda [(\cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\frac{\phi}{2}} \hat{a}_+ + \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\frac{\phi}{2}} \hat{a}_-) \hat{\sigma}_{21} + h.c.], \quad (1)$$

where $\hat{a}_+$ ($\hat{a}_-$) denotes the annihilation operator of the right (left) circularly polarized quantized mode and we assumed states $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$ to have the same parity. We assume, for the sake of simplicity, that the atom couples with the same strength to each polarization, both with

the classical and the quantized modes $g_+ = g_- = g = \Omega$. We also consider $\Omega_+ = \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\frac{\phi}{2}} \Omega$ and $\Omega_- = \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\frac{\phi}{2}} \Omega$. Finally, we define $\lambda = \frac{g\Omega}{\delta}$ as the effective coupling constant of the whole system.

The adiabatic rotation of the polarization of the injected (external) driving field produces two simultaneous effects; the usual one, which is related to the geometric phase $\gamma/2$ acquired by this quasi-classical (intense) field, where γ is the solid angle associated to the surface described by its polarization vector in the Poincaré sphere (fig2). The other effect, much more subtle, is the slow variation of the effective Hamiltonian parameters θ and ϕ , and, therefore, of the internal structure of the atom-quantized modes interaction.

In particular, if this rotation is such that the final Hamiltonian is the same as the original one, except for the phase $\gamma/2$, then a quantum Berry phase can be observed in the joint state of the atom and the quantized modes. According to [16], for a resonant Jaynes-Cummings interaction, state $|1\rangle|n-1\rangle|m\rangle$ ($|2\rangle|n\rangle|m\rangle$) acquires a geometric phase $e^{-i\gamma/2(n-m+1/2)}$ ($e^{i\gamma/2(n-m+1/2)}$), where $|n\rangle$ and $|m\rangle$ are the Fock states of modes $\hat{a}_+$ and $\hat{a}_-$, respectively.

Note that even if both cavity modes are initially in the vacuum state, there is still a phase shift $e^{i\gamma/4}$ in the atomic state $|2\rangle$, while state $|1\rangle$ remains unchanged.

This geometric phase shift can be observed through the usual Ramsey interferometric method (fig3) [12]. The atom is prepared in the first Ramsey zone and put into a symmetric superposition of levels $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$, while mode a_+ is prepared in some arbitrary state and mode a_- is prepared in the vacuum. The initial polarization of field $\vec{E}(t)$ is chosen so that only mode a_+ interacts with the atom. The external field is turned on and the whole system is allowed to interact for a time $\tau \gg 1/\lambda$, during which the polarization of the external field is rotated at a much slower rate compared to λ until it is back to its original value. After that, the atom undergoes a $\pi/2$

rotation in the second Ramsey zone and is detected by ionization.

If the initial state of mode a_+ is also the vacuum, then H_{eff} is resonant (for $\Omega = g$) and the probability to detect the atom in level $|2\rangle$, after an integral number of Rabi flips inside the cavity, and the $\pi/2$ pulse of the second Ramsey zone, is given by $P_2 = (1 - \cos \gamma/4)/2$. This corresponds to a $\gamma/4$ geometric phase shift, which is a surprising effect, comparing to the usual $\gamma/2$ classical result. The atom-cavity mode system splits into doublets except for a lonely ground state, which serves as an internal reference frame for the measurement of the global geometric phases acquired by the remaining dressed states. This is only possible due to the quantum behaviour of the cavity mode.

This becomes clear, if the experiment is repeated but, now, a coherent state $|\alpha\rangle$ is initially injected in mode a_+ . Then, the probability to measure the atom in the excited state for the same γ , is given by [19]

$$2P_2 = (1 - e^{-|\alpha|^2})(1 - \cos \gamma/2) + e^{-|\alpha|^2}(1 - \cos \gamma/4).$$

From this equation it is clear that only for $|\alpha\rangle = 0$, we have the surprising $\gamma/4$ ratio. As the intensity of the initial coherent state increases, the observed phase shift goes to the well expected $\gamma/2$ semi-classical limit. In this calculation, we assume a slightly different setup in which the dynamical effects can be eliminated [19].

In Fig. 2a, we show the phase shift in the probability to find the atom in level $|2\rangle$ P_2 for a induced geometric phase $\gamma = \pi$ due to the rotation of the polarization of the driving field. In Fig. 2b we show P_2 as a function

of different initial coherent states in the cavity field, for a fixed interaction time $\tau = 10/\lambda$, and the same phase shift $\gamma = \pi$.

Typical values for the one photon vacuum Rabi frequency are $g/2\pi \simeq 50\text{kHz}$ [12, 20]. Choosing the same coupling for the classically driven transition $\Omega/2\pi \simeq 50\text{kHz}$, and a detuning $\delta = 3\Omega$, $\lambda/2\pi \simeq 15\text{kHz}$, which means that the atom-field system can perform approximately 10 complete Rabi cycles during an effective interaction time of 0.6ms . Times of interaction of this order are achievable in microwave cavities for atomic velocities of the order of 10m/s and are within typical decaying times (1 ms) for the cavities used in [12]. According to our calculations, in these experimental conditions, the non-ideal adiabatic rotation of the polarization produces errors of the order of 5% in P_2 , which are smaller than the ones produced by other sources, like detectors inefficiency, cavity field decay and so on.

Our work suggests that the geometric phase still has additional surprising features. It also shows the versatility and power of the simple combination of the quantized cavity mode manipulated by an externally injected quasi-classical field. This opens a number of further possibilities for research, such as for example the effect of the phase on the collapses and revivals of atomic population in the Jaynes-Cummings model [21].

Acknowledgements This research was supported by EPSRC, Hewlett-Packard, Elsag spa and the EU. MFS acknowledges the support of CNPq.

Correspondence should be addressed to v.vedral@ic.ac.uk.

-
- [1] Pancharatnam, S., Generalized theory of interference. *Proc. Ind. Acad. Sci. A* **44**, 247 (1957).
 - [2] Berry, M. V., Quantum phase factors accompanying adiabatic changes. *Proc. Roy. Soc. A* **392**, 45 (1984).
 - [3] Shapere, A. and Wilczek, F. eds., *Geometric Phases in Physics*. World Scientific, Singapore, 1989.
 - [4] Jones, J. A., Vedral, V., Ekert, A. and Castagnoli, G., Geometric quantum computation using nuclear magnetic resonance. *Nature* **403**, 869 (1999).
 - [5] Falci, G., Fazio, R., Palma, G. M., Siewert, J. and Vedral, V., Detection of geometric phases in superconducting nanocircuits. *Nature* **407**, 355 (2000).
 - [6] Tomita, A. and Chiao, A., Observation of Berry's Topological Phase by Use of an Optical Fiber. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **57**, 937 (1986).
 - [7] Suter, D., Chingas, G. C., Harris, R. A., and Pines, A., Berry's phase in magnetic resonance. *Mol. Phys.* **61**, 1327 (1987).
 - [8] Bhandari, R. and Samuel, J., Observation of topological phase by use of a laser interferometer. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **60**, 1211 (1988).
 - [9] Suter, D., Mueller, K. T. and Pines, A., Study of the Aharonov-Anandan quantum phase by NMR interferometry. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **60**, 1218 (1988).
 - [10] Kwiat, P. G. and Chiao, R., Observation of a nonclassical Berry's phase for the photon. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **66**, 588 (1991).
 - [11] Webb, C. L., *et al.*, Measurement of Berry's phase using an atom interferometer. *Phys. Rev. A* **60**, R1783 (1999).
 - [12] Nogues, G., *et al.*, Seeing a single photon without destroying it. *Nature*, **400** 239 (1999)
 - [13] Raimond, J. M., Brune, M. and Haroche, S., Colloquium: Manipulating quantum entanglement with atoms and photons in a cavity. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **73**, 565 (2001).
 - [14] Varcoe, B. T. H., Brattke, S., Weidinger, M. and Walther, H., Preparing pure photon number states of the radiation field. *Nature* **403** 743 (2000).
 - [15] Brattke, S., Varcoe, B. T. H. and Walther, H., Generation of Photon Number States on Demand via Cavity Quantum Electrodynamics. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86** 3534 (2001).
 - [16] Fuentes-Guridi, I., Carollo, A., Bose, S. and Vedral, V., Vacuum induced spin-1/2 Berry phase. quant-ph/0202128 (2002), submitted to *Phys. Rev. Lett.*
 - [17] Shore, B. W. and Knight, P. L., The Jaynes-Cummings model, *J. Mod. Opt.* **40**, 1195 (1993).
 - [18] Santos, M. F., Solano, E. and de Matos Filho, R. L., Conditional large Fock state preparation and field state re-

- construction in Cavity QED. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **87**, 093601 (2001).
- [19] Morigi, G., Solano, E., Englert, B. and Walther, H., Measuring irreversible dynamics of a quantum harmonic oscillator. quant-ph/0108082 (2001), submitted to *Phys. Rev. A*.
- [20] Rauschenbeutel, A., *et. al.*, Controlled entanglement of two field modes in a cavity quantum electrodynamics experiment. *Phys. Rev. A* **64**, 050301(R) (2001).
- [21] Eberly, J. H., Narozhny, N. B. and Sanchez-Mondragon, J. J., Periodic Spontaneous Collapse and Revival in a Simple Quantum Model. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **44**, 1323 (1980).

Figure 1. The experimental proposal. The Rydberg atoms cross cavity C one at a time; the cavity mode is initially prepared in some weak coherent state and a circularly polarized intense field $\vec{E}(t)$ drives the atom-cavity mode system. The atomic levels are shown in fig 1b. For large detunings $\delta \gg g, \Omega$, the two lower levels interact effectively through a two-photon process; for simplicity, we consider $g = \Omega$, where $\Omega_+ = \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\frac{\phi}{2}} \Omega$ and $\Omega_- = \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\frac{\phi}{2}} \Omega$; in R1, the atoms are prepared in symmetric quantum superpositions of levels 1 and 2, and subsequently perform an integral number of Rabi flips inside C. During this interaction in C, the polarization of the external field is slowly rotated so as to complete a closed loop in the Poincaré sphere (as in fig. 2); the atoms are finally rotated back in R2, and detected in I.

Figure 2. A closed loop described by the polarization vector of the external driving field. The (classical) geometric phase generated in this way is equal to one half of

the solid angle enclosed by the path (equal to π in this figure). Note that the same picture can be used to represent the evolution of a quantum two-level system whose state space has the same structure as a two dimensional sphere (known as the Bloch sphere). In our paper we combine these two pictures with the quantization of the field to produce and measure a new phase effect. The evolution of the joint atom and the quantized field state can no longer be represented in this simple way.

Figure 3. Experimental prediction. (a) probability to measure the atom in state $|2\rangle$, for a fixed number of Rabi flips inside the cavity and different relative phases ξ between R1 and R2; the green curve is the caliber curve for the Ramsey interferometry, and the red curve represents the situation in which a geometric phase shift of $\pi/4$ is induced in the system, according to the proposed experiment. This phase shift corresponds to the solid angle $\gamma = \pi$ described in fig 2. Part (b) represents the effective phase shift for different coherent states prepared in the cavity. We see that for the vacuum states (i.e. a coherent state of amplitude 0) the shift would be equal to $\pi/4$, and it would increase with the coherent state amplitude converging to the expected (semi-classical) value of $\pi/2$. Note that the convergence is very fast, and for amplitudes of 2 and higher the semi classical result is in a very good agreement with the fully quantized calculation.

This figure "fig1.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/quant-ph/0204164v1>

This figure "fig2.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/quant-ph/0204164v1>

This figure "fig3.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/quant-ph/0204164v1>